UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,419	09/20/2005	Patrice Jublot	20513-00613-US1	1720
30678 CONNOLLY E	7590 06/01/200 BOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 220	7	PALABRICA, RICARDO J		
WILMINGTON, DE 19899-2207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/538,419	JUBLOT, PATRICE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rick Palabrica	3663			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 February</u> 2007.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	4) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□						
7)	Annua Control of the					
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		` ,			
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's 2/20/07 Response, which traversed a previous examiner's 1/19/07 Restriction, has been considered by the current examiner. In view of applicant's arguments, said Restriction is withdrawn and replaced it with this one. The current examiner regrets any inconvenience to the applicant.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim(s) 1, drawn to a process (loading a fuel assembly).
- Group II, claim(s) 2-15, drawn to an apparatus (fuel assembly loader).

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the general inventive concept set forth, for example, in claims such as claim 2, does not define over the teachings of the prior art set forth, for example, in Machara et al. (U.S. 6,295,329).

3. <u>If invention II is elected,</u> applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall

Art Unit: 3663

be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently claim 1 is generic to Group I; and claim 2 appears to be generic to Group II).

- A: The embodiment of the dummy fuel assembly as shown in Fig. 2A (see also page 14 of the Specification).
- B: The embodiment of the dummy fuel assembly as shown in Fig. 2B (see also page 18 of the Specification).
- 4. <u>If invention II is elected</u>, applicant is further required to elect a <u>single species of</u> the lower member of the dummy assembly, for purposes of examination. For example, applicant may elect the embodiment as shown in Figs. 3A and 3B alone. This additional requirement is to facilitate examining due to the diverse species disclosed as suitable (e.g., see page 20 of the Specification).
- 5. <u>If invention II is elected</u>, applicant is further required to elect a <u>single species of</u> the holding tool pin, for purposes of examination. For example, applicant may elect the embodiment as shown in Fig. 6B alone or Fig. 8 alone. This additional requirement is to facilitate examining due to the diverse species disclosed as suitable (e.g., see pages 21 and 27 of the Specification).
- 6. <u>If invention II is elected</u>, applicant is further required to elect a <u>single species of</u> the holding tool, for purposes of examination. For example, applicant may elect the embodiment as shown in Fig. 5 alone or Fig. 11 alone. This additional requirement is to

Art Unit: 3663

facilitate examining due to the diverse species disclosed as suitable (e.g., see pages 11, 12, 26 and 27 of the Specification).

7. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature of species A is a transverse cross section whose side is slightly shorter than the side of the cross section of the lower end member, while the special technical feature of species B is a transverse cross-section having a maximum dimension of the transverse cross section of a fuel assembly. Since the special technical feature of species A is not present in the claim drawn to B, and the special technical feature of species B is not present in the claim drawn to A, unity of invention is lacking.

Similar differences in the configurations exist for the members of the species of holding tool pin and the holding tool in sections 5 and 6 above.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,419

Art Unit: 3663

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,419

Art Unit: 3663

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rick Palabrica whose telephone number is 571-272-

6880. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-4:30, Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RJP May 18, 2007

> RICARDO J. PALABRICA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6